# Holloways Beach Environmental Education Centre

## **Field Study- Boating**

- 1. Site orientation and crab survey
- 2. Bird survey
- 3. Survey analysis including Simpson's Diversity Index
- 4. Water testing and site evaluation



#### HOLLOWAYS BEACH ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION CENTRE



# Boating and Crab pot map

The numbers on the map indicate where HBEEC have been surveying crab data for over two decades.

Water Quality results are taken at sites 4, 7 and 12.

Historical data on crabs, birds and water quality is available from HBEEC to compare to your data.

#### Your Crab catch

| M/F | Pot | Size | Tide |
|-----|-----|------|------|
|     | no. | (mm) |      |
|     |     |      |      |
|     |     |      |      |
|     |     |      |      |
|     |     |      |      |

## **Thomatis/Richters Creek**

**History:** Indigenous occupation of the Cairns area dates back at least 5,100 years from primary evidence and over 40,000 years according to secondary evidence. The lower coast area between Cairns and Port Douglas in which the Thomatis/Richters Creek is situated, are the traditional lands of the Yirrganydji people. Their country extends to the islands and reefs off Trinity Inlet including Green and Double islands. The Cairns area attracted European settlement in the late 1870s after gold was discovered further north. Both Thomatis and Richters creeks were named after European settlers in the area. Whilst initially separate creeks, over time they have changed course and merged. The merge point can be seen near crab pot 5 on the map above.

**Current use:** The system is used for recreational fishing, crabbing and boating and flow is affected by rainfall, groundwater and human use such as the dams from the Barron Gorge Hydroelectric Power Station. Adjacent land use ranges from suburban housing to farming, roadways, a dump (inland from pot number 11) and boat ramps. HBEEC use the lower system for educational boat tours and environmental research.

## 1. Bird Survey

#### **Methodology**

This study was completed with Holloways Beach Environmental Centre staff on Thomatis/Richters Creek. Birds were sighted from 4.3m boats travelling through the system and identified using HBEEC booklets.

• Data was taken in \_\_\_\_\_ (season) on \_\_\_/ \_\_\_ (date).

Tally start time \_\_\_\_\_ end time \_\_\_\_\_ duration \_\_\_\_ hours and \_\_\_\_\_minutes

• Tide\_\_\_\_\_ Moon phase\_\_\_\_\_ Recent rainfall: high/ medium/ low/ none

| Species                                | Tally (n) | n(n-1)     | Species                       | Tally (n) | N(n-1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------|------------|-------------------------------|-----------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Aust. White Ibis                       |           |            | Osprey                        |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Azure kingfisher                       |           |            | Pelican                       |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beach Thick Knee                       |           |            | Rainbow Bee-eater             |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black Butcherbird                      |           |            | Sacred Kingfisher             |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black kite                             |           |            | Silver Gull                   |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brahminy Kite                          |           |            | Torres Strait Pigeon          |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Darter                                 |           |            | Welcome Swallow               |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Curlew                         |           |            | Whimbrel                      |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forest Kingfisher                      |           |            | Whistling Kite                |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Great Egret                            |           |            | White-bellied Sea<br>Eagle    |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Great-billed Heron                     |           |            | White-breasted<br>Woodswallow |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gull-billed Tern                       |           |            | White-faced Heron             |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indian Myna                            |           |            | Willy Wagtail                 |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Laughing Kookaburra                    |           |            |                               |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mangrove Heron                         |           |            |                               |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mangrove Kingfisher                    |           |            |                               |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Masked Lapwing                         |           |            |                               |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange-footed Scrub<br>fowl            |           |            |                               |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL                                  | N=        | Σ n(n-1) = |                               | 1         |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Which species was/were most prevalent? |           |            |                               |           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |

What type of birds (waders/ raptors/ small tree-dwelling/ other) were the most abundant? Why do you think this might be the case?

| List 2 biotic and abiotic factors that may affect the species diversity |                               |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Factor  | Affect if high levels/numbers | Affect if low levels/numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biotic 1.   |                               |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biotic 2.   |                               |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abiotic 1.  |                               |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abiotic 2.  |                               |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Evaluating biodiversity, species richness, and evenness, using Simpson's Diversity Index

Simpson's Diversity Index is a measure of diversity. In ecology, it is often used to quantify the biodiversity of a habitat. It takes into account the number of species present (richness), as well as the abundance of each species (evenness).

$$SDI = 1 - \left(\frac{\sum n(n-1)}{N(N-1)}\right)$$
 Where:

- n is the total number of individuals of a particular species
- N is the total number of organisms of all species
  - $\sum$  n (n-1) is the sum of all species calculations of n(n-1)

SDI ranges between 0 and 1:

The closer the SDI is to 1, the more diverse the community. This means that there are many different species without overly dominant species.

The closer to zero, the less diverse; predominantly or entirely one species

## Calculate SDI for your data:

$$SDI = 1 - \left(\frac{\sum n(n-1)}{N(N-1)}\right)$$

What is the Simpson's Diversity Index derived from the survey?\_\_\_\_\_

What does this suggest about the diversity of birds within the Richters/Thomatis Creek ecosystem?

Richness?

Evenness?

Diversity?

If you were to complete the same survey once a month throughout the year, do you think that the SDI would fluctuate significantly? Why/ why not?

### Bird survey methodology.

Use the chart below to determine the type of survey conducted by HBEEC.



content/uploads/2015/04/Survey-Techniques-Guide.pdf

According to the chart, what type of survey does the HBEEC Centre survey methodology constitute?

How accurate do you think that the HBEEC methodology is? Excellent/good/fair/slightly inaccurate/unreliable

What are the advantages/disadvantages of using this methodology?

| Advantages | Disadvantages |  |  |  |  |
|------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
|            |               |  |  |  |  |
|            |               |  |  |  |  |
|            |               |  |  |  |  |
|            |               |  |  |  |  |

#### Name 3 or more issues with the data reliability and offer a way to improve.

| Data issue/Limitation | How it might affect data (potential error) | Suggestions for improvement (modification)<br>(reduce error or improve validity of results) |
|-----------------------|--|---|
|                       |  |   |
|                       |  |   |
|                       |  |   |
|                       |  |   |
|                       |  |   |
|                       |  |   |

# 4. Water Testing and Site Evaluation

Vessel:

Thomatis Creek Water Quality Testing

- Date:
- Weather Observations:
- Samples taken by:



| Site                             | GPS Location               | Time | Site Evaluation Score |                  | <b>Observations:</b><br>Eg. Human impacts and | Temp<br>(°C) | Flow<br>rate | рН | D.   | 0.    | Sal<br>(ppt) | Turb<br>(JTU) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|------|-----------------------|------------------|---|--------------|--------------|----|------|-------|--------------|---------------|
|                                  |                            |      | Vegetation<br>Score   | Erosion<br>Score | dominant species                              |              |              |    | mg/l | % sat |              |               |
| Site 1(pot 4)<br>surface (20cm)  | S16°50'03",<br>E145°43'39" |      |                       |                  |   |              |              |    |      |       |              |               |
| >1m                              |                            |      |                       |                  |   |              |              |    |      |       |              |               |
| Site 2(pot 7)<br>surface (20cm)  | S16°50'42",<br>E145°43'23" |      |                       |                  |   |              |              |    |      |       |              |               |
| >1m                              |                            |      |                       |                  |   |              |              |    |      |       |              |               |
| Site 3(pot 12)<br>surface (20cm) | S16°51'29",<br>E145°43'03" |      |                       |                  |   |              |              |    |      |       |              |               |
| >1m                              |                            |      |                       |                  |   |              |              |    |      |       |              |               |

| Excellent                                  | Good  | Fair                       | Poor                       | Very Poor                 |  |
|--|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
|  |   |                            |                            |                           |  |
| Bank vegetation                            |   |                            |                            |                           |  |
| (5)  | (4)   | (3)                        | (2)                        | (1)                       |  |
| Mainly undisturbed                         | Mainly native vegetation                        | Medium cover, mixed        | Introduced ground cover,   | Introduced ground cover   |  |
| native vegetation                          | extending several meters                        | native/ introduced. Or     | reduced native tree        | with lots of bare ground, |  |
| extending at least 5m                      | back from water's edge.                         | one side cleared, the      | cover, predominantly       | occasional tree. Also     |  |
| back from water's edge.                    | Little disturbance or no                        | other undisturbed or less  | introduced vegetation.     | includes sites with       |  |
| No signs of site alteration.               | signs of recent site                            | than 2m of healthy         |                            | concrete-lined channels.  |  |
|  | disturbance.                                    | riparian area.             |                            |                           |  |
|  |   |                            |                            |                           |  |
| Bank erosion and stab                      | oility  |                            |                            |                           |  |
| (5)  | (4)   | (3)                        | (2)                        | (1)                       |  |
| Stable; no erosion/                        | Only spot erosion                               | Localised erosion evident. | Significant active erosion | Extensive or almost       |  |
| sedimentation evident.                     | occurring. Little                               | A relatively good          | evident especially during  | continuous erosion. Over  |  |
| No undercutting of banks,                  | lo undercutting of banks, undercutting of bank, |                            | high flows. Unstable,      | 50% of banks have some    |  |
| usually gentle bank good vegetation cover, |   | continuous damage to       | extensive areas of bare    | form of erosion; very     |  |
| slopes. Lower banks usually gentle bank    |   | bank structure or          | banks, little vegetation   | unstable with little      |  |
| covered with root mat                      | slopes, no significant                          | vegetation.                | cover.                     | vegetation cover.         |  |
| grasses, reeds or shrubs.                  | damage to bank                                  |                            |                            |                           |  |
|  | structure.                                      |                            |                            |                           |  |

A riparian zone/area is the interface between land and a river or stream. This is typically where mangroves grow. Their health is affected by numerous factors such as adjacent land use, wash from boats, invasive species, pollution and dieback due to factors such as poor water quality.

Mangroves protect our shores from erosion and tidal surge and protect our water by filtering out nutrients and other pollutants like our kidneys filter our blood. Mangroves also provide homes and food sources for innumerable terrestrial and aquatic species and are a key contributing factor in the health of coastal reef systems. Riparian Area A stream's natural zone



Streams Need Their Riparian Areas! maintain a buffer zone between the stream and development or agriculture

## Water Testing- what, how and why

| Test  | Measurement<br>units                                       | nt What does it measure? How is it measured? Influencing factors (all probes are calibrated at HBEEC)  |  | Influencing factors   | Impact on ecosystem   | Water Quality<br>objective  |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| Temperature   | Degrees Celsius<br>(°C)                                    | The heat of a substance  | Via probe at 20cm and 1+m<br>depth   | Flow, rainfall, salinity,<br>surface cover, turbidity,<br>atmospheric<br>temperature, shade<br>groundwater etc. | Organisms rely on specific<br>temperature windows for<br>survival. Too hot or too<br>cold and organisms fail to<br>thrive or die.   | Seasonal<br>variance<br>≈16-28° C   |
| pH<br>(potential of<br>hydrogen/<br>power of<br>hydrogen) | 1-14<br>Lower=acidic<br>7 = neutral<br>Upper = alkaline    | The number of loose<br>hydrogen (hydroxyl, H+)<br>ions in a solution. More H+<br>= more acidic.  | Via probe which detects H+<br>by using a buffer solution<br>inside a glass membrane and<br>measuring the potential<br>between the internal buffer<br>and the external solution.                            | Flow, rainfall, bank<br>composition, pollution,<br>fertiliser run off etc.                                      | If water is too acidic or too<br>alkaline it can severely<br>inhibit growth,<br>reproduction or survival of<br>species.   | 6.4 (fresh<br>water) to 8.4<br>(salt sinks)<br>Seawater ≈ 8<br>Fresh≈ 6.5-7.5 |
| Dissolved oxygen<br>(DO)                                  | mg/L or ppm<br>or % saturation                             | The amount of oxygen<br>accessible for aquatic<br>organisms for essential life<br>processes such as<br>respiration (breathing).  | DO probes measure the<br>oxygen that diffuses across a<br>membrane. This induces a<br>chemical reaction and an<br>electrical signal. The signal is<br>read by the DO probe and is<br>displayed on a meter. | Water temp,<br>atmospheric<br>availability<br>(molecular oxygen),<br>photosynthesis,<br>flow etc.               | Too little- mass die offs of<br>single or multiple species.<br>0-2 mg/L: not enough oxygen<br>to support life<br>2-4 mg/L: only a few fish and<br>aquatic animals can survive<br>4-7 mg/L: good for many<br>aquatic animals<br>7-11 mg/L: very good for<br>aquatic life | >4ppm/ mg/L<br>or<br>80-105%<br>saturation                                    |
| Salinity  | Ppt/ppm  | The amount of salt in a liquid.  | The probe measures the<br>conductivity of the water,<br>salt dissolved in water<br>increases conductivity. The<br>more salt, the more<br>conductive the water.   | Tide, flow, distance from ocean etc.  | Organisms rely on specific<br>salinity windows for<br>survival. Some organisms<br>are tolerant of different<br>levels, but generally, too<br>much variation will see<br>organisms fail to thrive or<br>die.   | 0-35ppk<br>(ocean≈35ppk)  |
| Turbidity   | NTU<br>Nephelometric<br>Turbidity Units<br>(Jackson units) | The clarity/cloudiness of a<br>liquid.<br>A measurement of the<br>amount of light that is<br>scattered by material<br>(suspended solids) in the<br>water when a light is shined<br>through the water sample. | <ol> <li>Via Secchi disc or<br/>turbidity tube<br/>reading on site.</li> <li>Via a water sample<br/>tested in the lab.</li> </ol>  | Rainfall, soil types,<br>stormwater runoff,<br>pollution, tidal flow etc.                                       | Suspended solids can<br>quickly cause water quality<br>deterioration through<br>increasing temp, lowering<br>DO and preventing light<br>from reaching aquatic<br>plants.  | <10 NTU   |

| Test   | Measurement                           | What does it measure?   | How is it measured?                     | Influencing factors   | Impact on ecosystem  | Water Quality   |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|-----------------|
| Dissolved<br>Inorganic<br>Nitrogen (DIN)   | mg/L                                  | DIN = ammonia + nitrate +<br>nitrite which is derived<br>from inorganic sources<br>both natural and manmade<br>e.g. fertilisers, chemicals.                               | Water sample taken and tested in a lab. | Rainfall, adjacent land<br>use, stormwater runoff,<br>pollution, tidal flow etc.                | DIN, TN, DIP and TP are all<br>forms of nutrients.<br>Excess nutrient flow may<br>result in algal outbreaks  | <0.03 mg/L      |
| Total Nitrogen<br>(TN)   | mg/L                                  | Ammonia, nitrate and<br>nitrates derived from both<br>organic and non-organic<br>substances. Dissolved and<br>suspended.  | Water sample taken and tested in a lab. | Soil types, rainfall,<br>adjacent land use,<br>stormwater runoff,<br>pollution, tidal flow etc. | and subsequent outbreak<br>of algal eaters such as<br>Crown of Thorns sea stars.<br>It may also result in the<br>eutrophication of lakes and                                   | <0.250 mg/L     |
| Dissolved<br>Inorganic<br>Phosphorus (DIP)<br>AKA<br>orthophosphate or<br>soluble reactive<br>phosphorus | mg/L                                  | Dissolved phosphorus<br>derived from non-living<br>sources or bacterial<br>decomposition of organic<br>matter. Inorganic<br>phosphorus is the form<br>required by plants. | Water sample taken and tested in a lab. | Rainfall, flow, adjacent<br>land use, decomposing<br>matter, salinity etc.                      | estuaries which can mean<br>high water temperatures,<br>acidification, low oxygen<br>(hypoxia) and death of<br>organisms.<br>Even a modest increase in                         | <0.005 mg/L     |
| Total Phosphorus<br>(TP)   | mg/L                                  | All forms of phosphorus in<br>the sample organic,<br>inorganic, dissolved and<br>suspended. Animals can<br>use either organic or<br>inorganic phosphate.                  | Water sample taken and tested in a lab. | Rainfall, flow, adjacent<br>land use, decomposing<br>matter, salinity etc.                      | phosphorus or nitrogen<br>can set off a whole chain<br>of undesirable events in a<br>waterway.   | <0.02 mg/L      |
| Chlorophyll a<br>(Chl a)   | μg/L                                  | Green pigment found in<br>plants which absorbs<br>sunlight and converts it to<br>sugar during<br>photosynthesis.  | Water sample taken and tested in a lab. | Rainfall, flow, tides,<br>nutrients, water and<br>atmospheric temp,<br>sunlight etc.            | Concentrations are an<br>indicator of algal<br>abundance. Higher<br>concentrations indicate<br>poor water quality due to<br>stagnant water, high<br>temps or excess nutrients. | Less than 3μg/L |
| Intestinal<br>Enterococci  | CFU/100 mL<br>colony forming<br>units | Enterococci are bacteria<br>that live in the intestinal<br>tracts of warm-blooded<br>animals, including humans.   | Water sample taken and tested in a lab. | Animal effluent,<br>sewerage leakage etc.   | Indicate possible<br>contamination of streams<br>and rivers by fecal waste.<br>May cause severe illness.   |                 |

Estuaries are biologically productive transition zones between land and sea that play a vital role in transforming, recycling, and sequestering nutrients and organic matter, thus influencing nutrient loading to coastal and marine systems.

### Historical water quality data

| 9     |         |                         |          |               |                  | 1            |                   |                |           | DIN             |                  | TN                   | DIP              | TP Ch          | orophyll       | Baterial ent-   |
|-------|---------|-------------------------|----------|---------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---|
|       | DATE    | Time                    | Tide     |               | Water Temp PH    | •            | D.O. Dissolved ox | Salinity (ppt) | Turbidity | Nitrogen (mg/L) | Ammonia (mg/L) T | otal Nitrogen (mg/L) | osphorous (mg/L) | rous (mg/L) ph | yil a (µg/L) e | erococci (CFU) Readings taken by                            |
| -     | 15/03/2 | 018 11:01               | Outgoing | Surface<br>1m | 25.6 7<br>25.5 7 | 7.04<br>7.05 | 5.4<br>5.16       | 9.42<br>9.96   | 17        | 0.05            | 0.05             | 0.39                 | 0.01             | 0.04           | 2.6            | 88 Woree SHS Yr 11s<br>Woree SHS Yr 11s                     |
| SITE  | 18/05/2 | 018 10:30               | High     | Surface<br>1m |                  |              |                   |                | 5.6       | 0.14            | 0.05             | 0.32                 | 0.01             | 0.02           | 4.1            | Not tested Ravenshoe SHS Yr 11/12<br>Ravenshoe SHS Yr 11/12 |
|       | 25/06/2 | 018 11:53               | Outgoing | Surface<br>1m | 18.3 5<br>17.8 6 | 5.84<br>6.15 | 6.7<br>6.63       | 10.5<br>10.42  | 8.9       | 0.05            | 0.02             | 0.32                 | 0.01             | 0.02           | 1.8            | 21 Ravenshoe Yr 11s<br>Ravenshoe Yr 11s                     |
|       | DATE    | Time                    | Tide     |               | Water Temp PH    | t            | D.O. Dissolved ox | Salinity (ppt  | Turbidity | Nitrogen (mg/L) | Ammonia (mg/L) T | otal Nitrogen (mg/L) | osphorous (mg/L) | rous (mg/L) ph | yll a (µg/L) e | erococci (CFU) Readings taken by                            |
|       | 15/03/2 | 018 11:10:00 AM         | Outgoing | Surface<br>1m | 12.2 6<br>24.8   | 6.25<br>6.2  | 5.38<br>5.35      | 9.12<br>9.76   | 21        | 0.06            | 0.03             | 0.4                  | 0.01             | 0.03           | <1             | 140 Woree SHS Yr 11s<br>Woree SHS Yr 11s                    |
| ITE 2 | 18/05/2 | 018 11:00               | High     | Surface<br>1m | 12.2<br>22.1     |              |                   |                | 6         | 0.06            | 0.03             | 0.33                 | 0.01             | 0.02           | 4.5            | Not tested Ravenshoe SHS Yr 11/12<br>Ravenshoe SHS Yr 11/12 |
| 0,    | 25/06/2 | 018 12:07               | Outgoing | Surface<br>1m | 17.6 6<br>17.4   | 6.1          | 7.13<br>6.89      | 5.47<br>6.03   | 8.8       | 0.04            | 0.02             | 0.34                 | <0.01            | 0.02           | 1.3            | 25 Ravenshoe Yr 11s<br>Ravenshoe Yr 11s                     |
|       | DATE    | Time                    | Tide     |               | Water Temp PH    | ł            | D.O. Dissolved ox | Salinity (ppt) | Turbidity | Nitrogen (mg/L) | Ammonia (mg/L) T | otal Nitrogen (mg/L) | osphorous (mg/L) | rous (mg/L) ph | yil a (µg/L) e | erococci (CFU) Readings taken by                            |
|       | 15/03/2 | 018 11:56               | Outgoing | Surface<br>1m | 25.9 6<br>24.9 6 | 5.34<br>5.23 | 5.67<br>5.56      | 9.01<br>9.32   | 19        | 0.12            | 0.03             | 0.38                 | 0.01             | 0.03           | <1             | 170 Woree SHS Yr 11s<br>Woree SHS Yr 11s                    |
| TE 3  | 18/05/2 | 018 <mark>11</mark> :20 | High     | Surface<br>1m | 13.3<br>22.1     |              |                   |                | 4.1       | 0.1             | 0.03             | 0.36                 | 0.01             | 0.02           | 3.7            | Not tested Ravenshoe SHS Yr 11/12<br>Ravenshoe SHS Yr 11/12 |
| SI    | 25/06/2 | 018 12:30               | Outgoing | Surface<br>1m | 19.5 6<br>19.6 5 | 6.04<br>5.89 | 7.33<br>7.15      | 8.44<br>8.19   | 6         | 0.06            | <0.02            | 0.32                 | <0.01            | 0.02           | 1.3            | 35 Ravenshoe Yr 11s<br>Ravenshoe Yr 11s                     |

#### Use the water testing information on the previous pages to answer questions about the historical data above.

1. Which site had the highest turbidity reading? \_\_\_\_\_\_ Which date did this occur? \_\_\_\_\_\_

2. How might the high turbidity have impacted the other readings on this date? Give examples.

3. Are salinity readings generally higher at the surface or at ≈1m depth? \_\_\_\_\_ Explain why this trend might occur.

4. On which date were chlorophyll levels highest?

a) What could have caused this?

b) How might these high levels have impacted upon the Mangrove ecosystem and the reef?

Article re the loss of seagrass at Waquoit Bay due to excess nutrients: <u>https://www.whoi.edu/press-room/news-</u> release/excess-nutrients-lead-to-dramatic-ecosystem-changes-in-cape-cods-waquoit-bay/

Article on COTS and how nutrient load affects numbers: <u>https://www.aims.gov.au/research-topics/marine-life/crown-thorns-starfish</u>